JUN-05-2007 THE PILED DOCUMENT FILED DOCUMENT FILED DOCUMENT FILED DOCUMENT FILED DOCUMENT NEW YORK, N.Y. 10022

THERE S. ZUCKHEMAN

THE CONTROL OF THE PILED DOCUMENT FLOOR NEW YORK (212) 644-1010

THE CONTROL OF THE PILED DOCUMENT FLOOR NEW YORK (212) 644-1010

THE CONTROL OF THE PILED DOCUMENT FLOOR NEW YORK

DIRECT DIAL: (212) 909-0708 DIRECT FAX: (212) 909-3508 LYLE ZUCKERMAN@KMM.COM

June 5, 2007

## VIA FACSIMILE (212) 805-7924

Honorable Sidney H. Stein Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street, Room 1010 New York, NY 10007

Re: High

Highview Global Capital Management, LLC v. Philip Sun

Index No. 07 CIV 4642 KM&M File No. 11112.0001

Dear Judge Stein:

This firm is counsel to plaintiff Highview Global Capital Management, LLC ("Highview") in the referenced action, which was removed by defendant Philip Sun from the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County. On the following basis, Highview respectfully requests that this Court schedule a preliminary injunction hearing for the week of June 11, 2007.

The instant matter is one of urgency: it concerns the protection of Highview's trade secrets following defendant's resignation from Highview to begin employment for a competitor in brazen breach of a post-employment non-competition covenant. Defendant, a mathematician and quantitative analyst, resigned his employment from Highview -- an investment advisory firm that manages hedge funds -- in the midst of working on a highly proprietary economic modeling project, and accepted employment with Wellington Management Company LLP -- another investment advisory firm.

After refusing Highview's demands that he abide by his post-employment contractual obligations to refrain from such employment, Highview commenced this action in New York, in accordance with the employment contract's New York forum selection clause, to protect its trade secrets, and the New York State Supreme Court scheduled a preliminary injunction hearing for yesterday, June 4, 2006. On Friday, June 1, 2006, defendant removed the state action to this Court to avoid the hearing; while that removal was not inappropriate, its purpose was, since it unquestionably was designed to delay a preliminary injunction hearing and, even worse, facilitate his blatant

154024.VI

NEW YORK
SAN FRANCISCO
LOS ÁNGELES
WWW.KMM.COM



Honorable Sidney H. Stein June 5, 2007 Page 2

attempt to forum shop and have the matter heard by a Massachusetts court in contravention of the forum selection clause. In this regard, the Court should be aware that defendant filed a declaratory judgment action in Massachusetts state court one day following Highview's filing of the instant action, in clear breach of his employment contract's exclusive New York court forum selection clause (and the lack of any connection between the instant dispute and Massachusetts, other than defendant's residence). Highview removed and has now moved to dismiss the Massachusetts action (in favor of this action) and requested that the Massachusetts District Court decide the dismissal motion prior to scheduling a hearing on the merits of the underlying declaratory relief application; the District of Massachusetts agreed, and has set a briefing schedule solely on the motion to dismiss.

Defendant's attempt to delay a determination on the merits should not be rewarded. Inasmuch as defendant should have been prepared to proceed with a hearing on the merits of Highview's preliminary injunction application yesterday, and was required to file opposing papers by June 1, Highview respectfully submits that the Court schedule a preliminary injunction hearing during the week of June 11, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

Lyle S. Zuckerman

LSZ:kd

Steven Robert Kramer (Via facsimile) cc: Peter Carr (Via E-Mail)